Inner Understanding versus literal meaning.

A few Kriyabans from western world asked an Indian Kriyaban: What is the literal translation of Chant for Kriyabans proposed in the message 177? We are unfortunately interested in the authority of 'intellectual' undertaking, not in the authenticity of intelligent understanding! We are merely interested in Chittavritti (mind), not in Chaitanya (life)!

Literal meaning of '*Gurudevo Charanam*' is; --- «Bow down your confused head to the dirty feet of Guru who must be considered as God! »

But in the Guru Process (not a personality), Divinity has perhaps dawned with the dissolution of division in Guru's inner being. And the suggestion is that let the separative 'I' be available to the process of surrender to this 'no-I', non-division in Guru's being. This is the authentic understanding. However, 'I' is more habituated to succumb to authority! Hence the demand for literal meaning.

Literal implication of 'Kriyabano Paramam' may be: --- «Organise a mafia of Kriyabans!»

This is happening everywhere. In the name of religion and spirituality, all kinds of sects and cults are organised and they are at loggerheads with each other! There is no comprehension, but only conflicts among human beings. There is no life, no love; but they are only languishing in the lurch of mind!

But what has been suggested for Kriyabans is: --- let love energy circulate among Kriyabans because they are all flowering in the energy of Understanding.

Then the literal meaning of '*Adhyatmo Smaranam*' is: --- Read religious scriptures like Bible, Koran, Vedas, and Dhammapada and so on. Or read some stupid books of the spiritual market.

But what we are proposing is: --- Read the live-book of our inner affair. Watch the activity of 'I-ness without running into the split between watcher and the watched. This division-less wakefulness may bring about radical transformation in our inner being through a phenomenon of riddance from the 'I', not merely a re-construction or reformulation of the shoddy shit called 'I'.

Please listen to Shibendu with life, not merely hear from the humbug and hypocrisy of the mind under the banner of 'intellectualism'!

1) Without knowing, the 'I-ness' experience breeds illusion. And with the self-knowing, experience does not leave a cumulative residue or sediment.

2) Self-knowing is the discovery every moment of the ways of the ego, its intentions and pursuits, its ideas and appetites.

3) 'I' likes to live in illusion because there is great gratification in it; it is a stimulating private heaven and gives us a sense of superiority!

4) Most people love to avoid what-is by building up an organization with properties and rituals, with vows and gatherings. And some, who has the cunning, becomes a leader, an intermediary or a representative of this illusion!

5) Most of us love to exploit and to be exploited. Organizations and their hierarchy offer the system along with means to exploit, whether hidden or open.

6) We (mind) crave to be something to avoid the gnawing fear of being nothing. But we are nothing. Mind is a myth, even though it gives some functional value in the technical world. And in order to be somebody, we belong to various organisations, ideologies, churches and cults. Thus we are exploited and we, in our turn, exploit others.

7) Craving at any level of one's being, breeds conflicts and our attempts to escape from conflicts, only increase further confusion --- both within and without.

8) Understanding of cravings comes only through the constant awareness of the movements of 'I' without the dichotomy arising in the centripetal consciousness. Fragmentation-free inner awareness is indeed arduous. This is the real Guru process. Outside authority engenders power and power is profane. Power corrupts not only the wielder of power, but also him who follows it. The authority of knowledge and experience may also be a perversion.

9) The understanding of self is the beginning of wisdom and there is no path to wisdom. Wisdom is ever new, ever fresh and there is no means of gathering it. What is formulated and collected is fragmented. It is not the wholeness of truth, joy, life or wisdom. In the tranquility and silence of wisdom, there is the immeasurable, unnamable, unknowable, unmanifest.

JAI UNMANIFEST